



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,276	12/22/2005	David A. Fish	GB030102	6568
24737	7590	02/22/2010	EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			PIZIALI, JEFFREY J	
P.O. BOX 3001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			2629	
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/22/2010		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/562,276	FISH ET AL.	
	Examiner JEFF PIZALI	Art Unit 2629	

All Participants:**Status of Application:** _____(1) JEFF PIZALI.

(3) _____.

(2) Michael E. Belk (Reg. No. 33,357).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 February 2010**Time:** 1:20 PM**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jeff Piziali/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2629

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The Amendment filed on 23 November 2009 is incomplete (e.g., the faxed amendment is unsigned and contains only a partial listing of the first ten claims).

The examiner phoned the Applicant's representative, Mr. Michael E. Belk (Reg. No. 33,357), requesting that the amendment be resubmitted to the USPTO.

Mr. Belk kindly agreed to re-fax the amendment in question.

On 5 February 2010, Mr. Belk resubmitted, via fax, a complete copy of the 23 November 2009 Amendment.

/Jeff Piziali/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2629